Introduction to Systems Thinking for Early Childhood Leaders

CEELO Leadership Academy Washington D.C. February 10 - 11, 2016

Facilitator: Tracy Benson Ed.D. President/CEO Waters Foundation Systems Thinking Group t.benson@watersfoundation.org





Systems Thinking in Education

www.watersfoundation.org

CEELO Leadership Institute- 2016 Introduction to Systems Thinking

Session Learning Goals

- 1. Fellows will be able to identify ways Systems Thinking habits and tools can positively influence and impact leadership and program development.
- 2. Fellows will be able to identify ways Systems Thinking habits and tools apply to **job-embedded projects and the role of state level leadership**.
- 3. After the 2-day session, Fellows will leave with concrete ways systems thinking tools and strategies can be applied to **leadership work**.

Expected Capacity-building Outcomes

- 1. Increase understanding of how mental models are developed overtime (Mental models are deeply ingrained assumptions that influence how we understand the world and how we take action.)
- 2. Investigate ways to understand and utilize the diverse perspectives people hold about systems of interest
- 3. Identify the causal interdependencies that influence the behavior of systems
- 4. Develop insights about short-term, long-term and unintended consequences of decisions and actions.
- 5. When working to achieve desired outcomes, discover ways to move from siloed efforts to cohesive, systemic approaches
- 6. Build skill in identifying and mapping essential, interdependent system components

Agenda Overview

<u>Day 1</u>

Introduction and Check-in Framing the 2 day session Debrief Homework Characteristics of Complex Systems Importance of an Endogenous View Habits of a Systems Thinker Systems Thinking Tool Overview Mental Models and The Ladder of Inference Systems Thinking Iceberg Recognizing Patterns and Trends using Behavior-over-Time graphs

<u>Day 2</u>

Understanding interdependence in complex systems Connection Circles Casual Feedback: Reinforcing and Balancing Loops show interdependence and tell stories: Casual Loop Archetypes Iceberg completion and peer coaching Revisit Habits of a System thinker You know you are s systems thinker if you pay attention to... Closure

Characteristics of a System

All systems have boundaries. Most systems have subsystems that are nested and interconnected.

For example, schools are subsystems of school districts and classrooms are subsystems of schools.

Systems also have:

Elements or Parts

Examples include people, policies and resources.

Interconnections or Relationships among the Parts

A system consists of interconnected parts. The word "interdependence" is sometimes used to describe system relationships.

Dynamics

Systems tend not to stand still and continually change and adjust over time.

Goal or Purpose

All systems have goals or purposes. Some goals are explicit and others are implicit.

The Importance of an Endogenous View

Your system...any system is perfectly designed to produce the results you are obtaining. (Adapted from Carr, 2008)

An Endogenous View

Produced, originated or growing from within; having a cause internal to the system

An endogenous view (a systems that can view itself internally) helps one see what influences the behavior of the system, and helps one avoid the blaming of others when things go wrong.

When things are not going well in systems, an endogenous view helps people fully examine the internal causes that influence the system's ill behavior.

This perspective creates a rich environment for productive decision-making and improvement.

©2016 Waters Foundation, Systems Thinking in Education www.watersfoundation.org





©2016 Waters Foundation, Systems Thinking in Education www.watersfoundation.org

Ladder of Inference



Adapted from <u>The Fifth Discipline Fieldbook</u> Systems Thinking in Schools, Waters Foundation

Practices that help you use The Ladder of Inference



• Reflection

- Suspend judgment
- Become more aware of your own thinking and broaden your observations

• Inquiry

- Inquire into other's thinking and reasoning
- Ask open-ended questions that seek clarification

• Advocacy

 Make your thinking and reasoning more visible to others by describing what influenced your thinking and your actions

Notes:

Applying the Ladder of Inference to the Interpretation of Data



Iceberg... Seeing What's Below the Surface











How to Make Connection Circles

- 1. Draw a circle of behavior-over-time graphs (BOTGs). Limit the number to 5 10.
- 2. Elements graphed on the BOTGs should be
 - a. relevant to the main idea of the story/text
 - b. dynamic, i.e. their values change
 - c. nouns or noun phrases, preferably
- 3. Identify causality: elements that cause other elements to change (increase or decrease).
 - a. draw an arrow from the "cause" element to the "effect" element
 - b. on the arrow write a verb or action phrase to indicate HOW the cause "acts on" the effect element
 - c. label the arrow-head with "S" (indicating a same or direct relationship) or an "O" (indicating an opposite or inverse relationship)
- 4. Continue this process until you have described all of your causal hypotheses.
- 5. Identify and analyze *feedback* relationships in the circle. These feedback relationships can be shown in causal loop diagrams (CLDs).
- 6. Mentally simulate your "model" (tell/retell the story).





Reinforcing Causal Loops





Practice drawing reinforcing loops

#1 Choose one pair of variables and draw a reinforcing loop.

Staff collaboration & Quality of staff communication Student frustration & Teacher frustration Peer pressure & Student dependence on peers Staff commitment & Administrator commitment Administrator approachability & Quality of communication Teacher efficacy & Teacher belief in student capabilities School reputation & Enrollment

#2 Choose <u>one</u> of the elements below and ask yourself "What other changing element(s) would cause this element to grow or decline in a reinforcing direction?" Design and draw your loop. When finished, share with others at your table.

Teacher Buy-in to ECERS Principal Buy-in to ECERS Parent Involvement Professional Learning Teacher Self-reflection Professional Capital Organizational Alignment Culture of Collaboration Social-Emotional Learning Other?











Tips for Causal Loop Diagrams (CLDs)

Causal Loop Diagrams (CLDs) help one understand and communicate the interactions that determine the dynamics of a system. System behaviors are generated from within the system and are the result of one or more causal (or feedback) loops. CLDs illustrate how "structure generates behavior" within a system.

- 1. CLDs show causal relationships and illustrate circular feedback within a system. A cause becomes an effect, becomes a cause. You should be able to read around the loop several times. "What goes around comes around."
- You may choose to identify important CLDs by looking for causal relationships among 2. behavior-over-time graphs (BOTGs) that describe the system or by extracting those found within Stock/Flow maps and computer simulations.

Since CLDs are about the causes of change, it is helpful to identify how key elements actually did change by drawing accompanying BOTGs (See Fig. 1: As drug use goes up, dependency goes up; as dependency goes up, drug use goes up.)



Figure 1

3. Find a specific focus for the loop(s) you draw, taking into account the purpose and audience for the loop(s). A CLD can help you tell a story or express your interpretation or mental model of how a system works. A single, understandable CLD can describe a simple system or a part of a more complex one.

Pick one aspect of the system. Focus on a behavior that is changing over time. What are the causes? What are the effects? *This/these become the other aspects of the loop(s).*

- CLDs contain 4 elements (See Fig. 1): 4.
 - a. variables that are related in cause/effect sequence(s) (See #5 below.)
 - b. arrows that indicate which elements are affecting other elements
 - c. symbols associated with the arrows that denote the direction of the influence of the relationships (See #6 below.)
 - d. a central symbol indicating the overall identity of the loop (either "R" reinforcing or "B" balancing) (See #7 below.)
- All variables in a CLD must be able to increase or decrease; at least one must be a stock, i.e. an accumulation. (See "Tips for 5. Stock/Flow Maps.")
 - a. Choose precise, non-repetitive terms for the variables in CLDs, e.g., "Feelings" is too nebulous a term to include in a loop. Try a more specific feeling such as "happiness," "sadness," or "frustration" instead.
 - b. Do not use words such as more/less, or increases/decreases in the variable name. It is very hard to interpret less "more drug use" or more "less drug use."
- 6. Symbols associated with the arrowhead end of each arrow indicate the effect of the influence.
 - a. An "S" means that both variables move in the same direction. If the first variable increases, the second variable will be greater than it would have been otherwise; a decrease in the first causes the second to be less than it would have otherwise been. A "+" may be used in a similar although not identical fashion.*
 - b. An "O" shows that the two variables change in the opposite direction. If the first variable increases, the second will be less than it would have been otherwise; a decrease in the first variable causes the second to be greater than it would have been otherwise. A "-" may be used in a similar, although not identical, fashion. *For clarification of the difference between "S" and "+" and "O" and "-," refer to writings by John Sterman and/or George Richardson.
- A CLD may be "reinforcing" and grow, or shrink, until acted upon by a limiting force, or "balancing" and move toward, 7. return to, or oscillate around a particular condition. Reinforcing loops are marked with an "R" in the center; balancing loops are indicated with a "B" in the center . Graphs of behaviors from:

Reinforcing Loops

Balancing Loops



next variable in the loop, a time delay can be indicated by drawing two short, parallel line





©2015 Waters Foundation, Systems Thinking Group www.watersfoundation.org

segments across the arrow that connects those two variables.





©2015 Waters Foundation www.watersfoundation.org

Causal Loop Archetypes help you see and understand systems.

Archetypes are **lenses** or **perspectives** from which to see causal connections that create system behavior.

And, they help you anticipate possible problems before they occur.

Archetypes help you visually describe a complex situation or system.

Archetypes are **shorthand** for diagramming complex cause-effect relationships.



Fixes that Backfire QuestionsHas the need to respond quickly to a problem been
greater than the importance of investigating potential
unintended consequences?Did the response help to reduce the problem in the
beginning, but overtime, did consequences actually
contribute to the original problem?



©2015 Waters Foundation www.watersfoundation.org

Fixes-that-Backfire



Handout by Systems Thinking in Schools, Waters Foundation, <u>www.watersfoundation.org</u>, based on archetype described in *The Fifth Discipline*, Senge and by Innovation Associates, Inc.

16ystems Archetype:	
Fixes that Backfire	
	\sum
Problem	B Fix
Symptor	n
R Unintended Consequence	
when you think you've solved a proble	m in the short run, yet the solution
actually makes the problem worse in the long run	
Description:	Ways out:
In a Fixes that Backfire scenario, a problem symptom requires a fix, oftentimes a "quick fix." The fix then alleviates the problem, resulting in a balancing dynamic that addresses the problem in the short-term. However, unintended consequences emerge as a result of the fix that come back and actually cause the original problem symptoms to reoccur and even become worse.	Breaking this cycle usually requires an acknowledgement that the fix was shortsighted. Efforts to generate a solution that minimizes the effects of the unintended consequences are needed. As a tool for prevention: The fixes that backfire archetype is a valuable tool to use before a fix is implemented. Ask people to consider the possible unintended consequences of a proposed fix. Both short-and long- term consequences and unintended consequences should figure into the discussion. An informed decision that considers all of the trade-offs of applying the fix will minimize the potential for backfire.
Questions to ask	
Has the need to respond quickly to a problem been greater than the importance of investigating potential unintended consequences?	Did the response help to reduce the problem in the beginning, but overtime, did consequences actually contribute to the original problem?

©2016 Waters Foundation Systems Thinking in Education watersfoundation.org

Shifting the Burden



Handout by Waters Foundation, www.watersfoundation.org, based on archetype described in The Fifth Discipline, by Senge (1994) and by Innovation Associates, Inc.



©2016 Waters Foundation Systems Thinking in Education watersfoundation.org

Adapted Tuning Protocol

Choose who will be the first presenter (each fellow will take a turn being the presenter and when not presenting, will serve as a peer coach).

5 minutes	One fellow shares his/her Iceberg by describing each level of analysis: events, patterns and trends, structure and mental models. The levels can be described in any order, and the presentation will include the learning and leverage actions.
3 minutes	The peer coach(es) pose questions of clarification with the presenting fellow responding to those questions.
3 minutes	The peer coach(es) provide feedback "I really like" "I wonder if" "Have you considered" Other
4 minutes	General discussion as to how the clarifying questions and feedback will help increase learning and identify leverage actions related to the job-embedded project
Switch Roles and Repeat Process	

Notes:

Habits of a Systems Thinker



©2016 Waters Foundation Systems Thinking in Education watersfoundation.org